UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/593,308	09/18/2006	Yvonne Heischkel	295788US0PCT	7530	
22850 7590 09/13/2010 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER		
			BALASUBRAMANIAN, VENKATARAMAN		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1624			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/13/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/593,308	HEISCHKEL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
/Venkataraman Balasubramanian/	1624	

	Dalasubiailiaili					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 23 August 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on tapplication, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appear for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	he same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) \square The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date o have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of externing the period of externing the period of externing the period of externing the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of nortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compli filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be			cause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con-	•	E below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	· ·					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or			ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a ∞	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 50-67. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fails	s to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
<u>.</u>						
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (F						
13. \(\text{\tinx}\text{\tinx}\text{\ti}\text{\texi\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texitext{\text{\text{\text{\texi}}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\ti						
made in the previous office action moot, the 112 first paragraph is dependent claims 51-67. In addition, claim 50 indefinite and it						
R1-R6 groups and hence the structural make-up of reactant triaz						
Furthermore, claim 56 is indefinite as it is not clear what is intended.						

R10H, R20H, R30H, the reaction of triazine carbamte II bearing highest boiling alcohol is not likely to be displaced by the lowest

boiling alcohols. Hence, claim 56 raises additional 112 first paragraph rejection.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/Venkataraman Balasubramanian/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1624

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100908